Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 05521 152041Z

70

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 EURE-00 INRE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00 USIE-00

CIAE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-04

RSC-01 PRS-01 SPC-03 SS-20 ACDA-19 IO-14 NEA-10

TRSE-00 SAJ-01 OIC-04 AEC-11 OMB-01 DRC-01 /149 W ------ 005990

O P 151950Z NOV 73 FM USMISSION NATO TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 2709 SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE INFO AMEMBASSY VIENNA PRIORITY USNMR SHAPE AMEMBASSY BONN AMEMBASSY LONDON

SECRETUSNATO 5521

E.O. 11652: GDS, 12-31-81 TAGS: PARM, NATO

SUBJ: MBFR: NOVEMBER 15 SPC DISCUSSION OF ASSOCIATED MEASURES

VIENNA FOR USDEL MBFR

SUMMARY: SPC PREPARED REPORT TO NAC (TEXT BELOW) ON HANDLING "ASSOCIATED MEASURES" (STABILIZATION MEASURES, VERIFICATION AND NON-CIRCUMVENTION) IN ALLIED FRAMEWORK PROPOSAL, WHICH CONFORMS CLOSELY TO HANDLING OF THESE MEASURES IN DRAFT WHICH U.S. TABLED IN AD HOC GROUP (STATE 223835). MISSION BELIEVES THIS REPORT WILL FACILITATE RAPID COUNCIL DECISION ON AHG DRAFT FRAMEWORK PROPOSAL WHEN IT IS SUBMITTED TO NAC FOR APPROVAL. COUNCIL WILL MEET ON THIS REPORT ON NOVEMBER 16. ACTION REQUESTED: REQUEST GUIDANCE ON REPORT. END SUMMARY.

1. SPC AGREED THAT TERM "STABILIZING MEASURES" SHOULD BE USED IN FRAMEWORK PROPOSAL. AT FRG INSISTENCE SPC ALSO AGREED THAT REFERENCE TO STABILIZING MEASURES SHOULD SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 05521 152041Z

APPEAR IN FIRST MAJOR SECTION OF FRAMEWORK PROPOSAL, AS SUGGESTED IN STATE 222698. ASIDE FROM THIS POINT, SPC REPORT FULLY SUPPORTS TREATMENT OF THE ASSOCIATED MEASURES GIVEN IN U.S. FRAMEWORK PROPOSAL TRANSMITTED STATE 223835.

REFERENCES TO FLANK COUNTRY PROBLEMS SHOULD OCCASION NO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE IN TEXT OF FRAMEWORK PROPOSAL.

2. DURING DISCUSSION, UK REP (LOGAN) WAS PARTICULARLY HELPFUL AND ADDRESSED MOST OF HIS REMARKS TO RECENT U.S. MEMORANDUM AND ANNEX ON STABILIZING MEASURES (SEE SEPTEL FOR TEXT OF HIS PRINCIPAL INTERVENTION). HOWEVER, FRG REP (RANTZAU) URGED A GO-SLOW APPROACH TOWARDS U.S. PAPER, AND APPEARED TOUCHY ABOUT U.S. "PRESSURING" ALLIES TOWARDS HASTY AGREEMENT. HE DID AGREE TO A "BLURRING" OF STABILIZING MEASURES, AS LONG AS IT DID NOT PREJUDGE FINAL DECISION ON WHETHER THESE MEASURES WOULD PRECED OR ACCOMPANY REDUCTIONS AND ON THE UNDERSTANDING THAT "STABILIZING MEASURES" WOULD APPEAR BEFORE REDUCTIONS IN FRAMEWORK PROPOSAL.

3. BEGIN TEXT OF SPC REPORT:

IN RESPONSE TO A COUNCIL REQUEST OF 9TH NOVEMBER, 1973, THE SENIOR POLITICAL COMMITTEE HAS CONSIDERED HOW SPECIFIC A FRAMEWORK PROPOSAL SHOULD BE ON ASSOCIATED MEASURES, I.E., STABILIZING MEASURES, VERIFICATION AND NON-CIRCUMVENTION.

- 2. THE COMMITTEE FOUND THAT IT WAS NOT POSSIBLE FOR THE ALLIES TO DEVELOP AND AGREE UPON DETAILED NEGOTIATING PROPOSALS, AS CALLED FOR IN PARAGRPAHS 23, 29, 30 AND 33 OF C-M(73)83 (FINAL), IN TIME FOR THE PRESENTATION OF A FRAMEWORK PROPOSAL. THEREFORE, THE FRAMEWORK PROPOSAL WOULD, QUITE APART FROM TACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS, HAVE TO BE LIMITED TO RATHER GENERAL STATEMENTS ON THESE SUBJECTS. THESE GENERAL STATEMENTS IN THE FRAMEWORK PROPOSAL SHOULD BE SO WORDED AS NOT TO PREJUDGE EVENTUAL ALLIED DECISIONS ON THE UNRESOLVED SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES. RESOLUTION OF THESE ISSUES IS OF COURSE MOST URGENT, AND THE APPROPRIATE NATO BODIES SHOULD COMPLETE THE RELEVANT WORK AT THE EARLIEST POSSIBLE DATE.
- 3. AGAINST THIS BACKGROUND THE FOLLOWING RECOMMENDATIONS IF APPROVED BY THE COUNCIL, COULD PROVIDE GUIDANCE FOR THE SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 05521 152041Z

AD HOC GROUP IN THEIR TASK OF DRAFTING A FRAMEWORK PROPOSAL:

(I) THE FIRST MAJOR SECTION OF THE FRAMEWORK PROPOSAL SHOULD DEAL WITH STABILIZING MEASURES. IT SHOULD REFER TO THE NECESSITY OF INCLUDING SUCH ASSOCIATED MEASURES IN ANY MBFR AGREEMENT (IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE UNDERSTANDING RECORDED IN THE COMMUNIQUE OF JUNE 28). IT WOULD THUS REFLECT THE ALLIED VIEW THAT STABILIZING MEASURES SHOULD BE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THE MBFR PROCESS AND THAT THE LEGITIMATE SECURITY INTERESTS OF THE FLANK COUNTRIES SHOULD BE FULLY PROTECTED, IN VIEW OF THE FACT THAT THE SECURITY OF THE ALLIANCE IS

INDIVISIBLE. IT SHOULD NOT CHARACTERIZE THE "STABILIZING MEASURES" AS EITHER "PRE-REDUCTION" OR "ACCOMPANYING," TAKING CARE NOT TO PREJUDGE A FINAL POSITION OF THE ALLIES IN THIS REGARD. THE WORDING SHOULD NOT BE SUCH AS TO EXCLUDE THE POSSIBILITY OF APPLYING SUCH MEASURES TO FORCES OUTSIDE THE NGA OR TO THE INCLUSION OF CERTAIN PROVISIONS FOR THE FLANKS.

(II) ON VERIFICATION A FRAMEWORK PROPOSAL NEED CONTAIN ONLY A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF WHAT IS DESIRABLE FROM THE ALLIED POINT OF VIEW, E.G. THAT ANY MBFR AGREEMENT MUST CONTAIN APPROPRIATE VERIFICATION PROVISIONS, THE MODALITIES AND EXTENT OF WHICH SHOULD DEPEND ON THE CONTENT AND NATURE OF THE AGREEMENTS REACHED. IT SHOULD NOT USE WORDING WHICH WOULD EXCLUDE SUBSEQUENT PRESENTATION OF SPECIFIC PROPOSALS AS SET OUT IN PARA 33(A) AND (B) OF C-M(73)83 (FINAL).

(III) ON NON-CIRCUMVENTION A FRAMEWORK PROPOSAL SHOULD CONTAIN A GENERAL REFLECTION OF THE PHILOSOPHY SET OUT IN PARAGRAPH 31 OF C-M(73)83 (FINAL), PREFERABLY AVOIDING REFERENCE TO ANY SPECIFIC TERRITORIES.

END TEXT. MCAULIFFE

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 02 APR 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 15 NOV 1973 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: mcintyresh
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973NATO05521

Document Number: 1973NATO05521
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: 11652 GDS, 12-31-81

Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: NATO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19731165/abqcedig.tel Line Count: 137 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: n/a

Original Classification: SECRET Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: mcintyresh

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags:

Review Date: 13 JUL 2001 **Review Event:**

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <13-Jul-2001 by boyleja>; APPROVED <23-Aug-2001 by mcintyresh>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: MBFR: NOVEMBER 15 SPC DISCUSSION OF ASSOCIATED MEASURES

TAGS: PARM, NATO

To: STATE SECDEF INFO VIENNA **USNMR SHAPE**

BONN LONDON

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005